



Structural Safety Inspection

Factory Name	Chittagong Fashion Specialized Textile Ltd.	Accord ID	11715
Factory Address	Plot# 26, Sector # 01, road # 04, C.E.P.Z.		
Inspection Date	11-Feb-2014		
Date of Review Inspection	15-Oct-19		
Inspected by	Md. Moin Uddin		





Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
1	Verify the structural adequacy of building columns at all levels based on as built dimensions and material properties.	Factory engineer to review design, loads and column stresses in area identified above.	Priority-2 (Within 6 weeks)	Completed	19-Apr-2016	<p>On 16/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 11/02/2016. Committed Timeline:24/03/2016 An engineering assessment was submitted last on 23/12/2014 and after that still its revised submission is pending. Factory management & their consultant committed to submit their EA report within 24/03/2016. Timeline extended from 24/03/16 to 19/04/16</p> <p>On 12/02/2017: This issue is covered in DEA. Mismatch was found in structural layout which was not incorporated in the last submitted DEA. Factory is required to submit DEA correcting all the mismatches found.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017:Factory engineer has reviewed design, loads and column stresses which was included in EA report. The EA of the factory has been submitted to Accord which is under review.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017:Factory engineer has reviewed design, loads and column stresses which was included in EA report. The EA of the factory has been submitted to Accord which is under review.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: This issue has been covered in EA. Factory performed EA and EA was accepted by ACCORD on 12/11/2017. During verification inspection, some discrepancies were found. Factory is required to submit revised EA as per verification report within given timeline in report.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: This issue is required to cover in Engineering Assessment (EA). Factory performed EA and EA was accepted by ACCORD on 12/11/2017. After the verification inspection factory submitted the revised EA to ACCORD on 28/06/2018 which is under review.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Factory has carried out DEA and got acceptance from ACCORD on 12-11-2017. After the verification inspection factory submitted revised DEA documents to ACCORD and got re-acceptance on 21-11-2018 with some remediation plan. Retrofitting completion timeline is 15-02-2019.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: Factory prepared DEA and got acceptance from ACCORD on 21-11-2018. As per the approved retrofitting recommendation, factory has almost completed 80% remediation work. As per approval, remediation completion timeline was 15-02-2019.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: This issue has been covered in Detailed Engineering Assessment (DEA). Factory has carried out DEA and got acceptance from ACCORD. As per approved DEA, factory has completed all remediation works.</p>	Corrected	



Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
2	Verify the structural adequacy of building columns at all levels based on as built dimensions and material properties.	Verify insitu concrete strength either by cores or existing cylinder strength data for 8 building columns.	Priority-2 (Within 6 weeks)	Completed	19-Apr-2016	<p>On 11/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 11/02/2016 Committed Timeline: 24/03/2016 An engineering assessment was submitted last on 23/12/2014 and after that still its revised submission is pending. Factory management & their consultant committed to submit their EA report within 24/03/2016. so this issue will be covered in EA report. Timeline extended from 24/03/16 to 19/04/16</p> <p>On 12/02/2017: Concrete core was seen taken from column and beam . But mismatch was found in the core layout during inspection. So whether all the core results were in incorporated in the DEA could not be verified. Factory should correct the layout accordingly and incorporate all the core test results in DEA.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017: This issue is required to be covered under EA. The EA of the factory has been submitted to Accord which is under review.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017:This issue is required to be covered under EA. The EA of the factory has been submitted to Accord which is under review.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: Core sample has been taken as part of EA and test report has been incorporated in EA report. During inspection, core location was found.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: This issue has been corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: The issue is corrected as per previous inspection.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	
3	Verify the structural adequacy of building columns at all levels based on as built dimensions and material properties.	Priority-3-Produce and actively manage a loading plan for all floor plates within the factory giving consideration to floor capacity and column capacity.	Priority-3 (Within 6 months)	Completed	19-Mar-2016	<p>On 11/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 30/12/2015, Final Timeline: 19/03/2016 Load plan found posted but no implementation was seen. Uncontrolled high loading was seen on 4th floor fabric roll storage & 3rd floor accessories store. Factory management committed to reduce load within 19/03/2016.</p> <p>On 12/02/2017: No load management plan was seen. Loading was seen uncontrolled. The load plan found was not satisfactory. It is required that the load plan should be maintained strictly .</p> <p>On 13/8/2017: Load management was not seen during inspection. Overloading and uncontrolled loading was seen on several floors. As per the accepted Safe load plan factory has to follow 20 psf on all floors. But it was not followed at all. A canteen and dining area was found on 6th floor in which the load exceeds far more than safe load plan. Factory has to follow the safe load plan strictly and install the immediate propping for the required columns.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017: Load management was not seen during inspection. As per the accepted Safe load plan factory has to follow 20 psf on all floors. A canteen and dining area was found on 6th floor in which the load exceeds far more than safe load plan. Factory has to follow the safe load plan strictly and install the immediate propping for the required columns.</p> <p>On 21/02/2018: Load plan has been produced as part of EA. Factory performed EA and EA was accepted by ACCORD on 12/11/2017. Factory didn't post any load plan. Factory is required to post safe load plan until retrofitting completed. Final load plan will be posted after completion of retrofitting works. Loading was found within 20psf as per accepted EA. During verification inspection, some discrepancies were found. Factory is required to submit revised EA as per verification report within given timeline in report.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: Load plan has been prepared along with EA report which was approved by ACCORD on 12-11-2017. Loading was found within 20 psf as per accepted EA, but factory didn't post any load plan. After the verification inspection factory submitted the revised EA to ACCORD on 28/06/2018 which is under review.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Load plan has been prepared which was accepted by ACCORD. During inspection, loading was observed within the allowable load limit (42 psf) as per accepted load plan. Factory will post final load plan after completion of remedial works.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: Load plan has been produced and got acceptance from ACCORD. During inspection loading was found within allowable safe limit (20 psf), Load plan was found posted in every floor. Remediation work has not completed till the inspection date. Factory will post final load plan after completion of remedial works.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Load plan has been prepared which was accepted by ACCORD. During inspection, loading was found within the allowable load limit (42 psf) and load plan was found posted on each floor.</p>	Corrected	 





Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
4	Structural drawings provided were incomplete and do not fully represent the as constructed building structure.	Priority-2-Building engineer to survey building structure and compare with structural drawings to identify any discrepancies.	Priority-2 (Within 6 weeks)	Completed	19-Apr-2016	<p>On 11 .03/2016: Previous Timeline: 11/02/2016 Committed Timeline: 24/03/2016 An engineering assessment was submitted last on 23/12/2014 and after that still its revised submission is pending. Factory management & their consultant committed to submit their EA report within 24/03/2016. so this issue will be covered in EA report. Timeline extended from 24/03/16 to 19/04/16</p> <p>On 12/02/2017: Mismatch was found in Part 2 column schedule . Part 1 column schedule was not found . So verification could not be done. Also mismatch was found in the beam layout. It is required Factory produce structural and architectural drawing accordingly and in corporate them in DEA.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017: This issue is required to be covered under EA. The EA of the factory has been submitted to Accord which is under review. This issue is required to be covered under EA. The EA of the factory has been submitted to Accord which is under review.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: As-built drawing has been produced as part of EA. Factory performed EA and EA was accepted by ACCORD on 12/11/2017. During verification inspection, some discrepancies were found. Factory is required to submit revised EA as per verification report within given timeline in report.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: As built drawing and other structural documents are prepared as part of EA. EA was accepted by ACCORD on 12/11/2017. After the verification inspection factory submitted the revised EA to ACCORD on 28/06/2018 which is under review.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Factory has produced as built drawings as part of DEA and got acceptance from ACCORD on 12-11-2017. After the verification inspection factory submitted revised DEA documents to ACCORD and got re-acceptance on 21-11-2018.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: The issue is corrected as per previous inspection.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	
5	Structural drawings provided were incomplete and do not fully represent the as constructed building structure.	Building engineer to review the design calculations and confirm that the design (or an updated design if required) corresponds with the as built structure	Priority-3 (Within 6 months)	Completed	19-Apr-2016	<p>On 16/03/2017: Previous Timeline: 11/02/2016 Committed Timeline: 24/03/2016 An engineering assessment was submitted last on 23/12/2014 and after that still its revised submission is pending. Factory management & their consultant committed to submit their EA report within 24/03/2016. so this issue will be covered in EA report. Timeline extended from 24/03/16 to 19/04/16</p> <p>On 12/02/2017: Mismatch was found in Part 2 column schedule . Part 1 column schedule was not found . So could not be verified. Also mismatch was found in the beam layout. It is required Factory produce structural and architectural drawing accordingly and in corporate them in DEA.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017: This issue is required to be covered under EA. The EA of the factory has been submitted to Accord which is under review.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017:This issue is required to be covered under EA. The EA of the factory has been submitted to Accord which is under review.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: This issue has been covered in EA. Factory performed EA and EA was accepted by ACCORD on 12/11/2017. During verification inspection, some discrepancies were found. Factory is required to submit revised EA as per verification report within given timeline in report.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: This issue is required to cover in Engineering Assessment (EA). Factory performed EA and EA was accepted by ACCORD on 12/11/2017. After the verification inspection factory submitted the revised EA to ACCORD on 28/06/2018 which is under review.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Factory has prepared DEA and got acceptance from ACCORD on 12-11-2017. After the verification inspection factory submitted revised DEA documents to ACCORD and got re-acceptance on 21-11-2018. Factory required to complete all remedial works within 15-Feb-2019. The remediation work was not started till the inspection day.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: Factory prepared DEA and got acceptance from ACCORD on 21-11-2018. As per the approved retrofitting recommendation, factory has almost completed 80% remediation work. As per approval, remediation completion timeline was 15-02-2019.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: This issue has been covered in Detailed Engineering Assessment (DEA). Factory has carried out DEA and got acceptance from ACCORD. As per approved DEA, factory has completed all remediation works.</p>	Corrected	


Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
6	Structural drawings provided were incomplete and do not fully represent the as constructed building structure.	As constructed lay out and structural drawings to be issued.	Priority-3 (Within 6 months)	Completed	19-Apr-2016	<p>On 16/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 11/02/2016 Committed Timeline: 24/03/2016 An engineering assessment was submitted last on 23/12/2014 and after that still its revised submission is pending. Factory management & their consultant committed to submit their EA report within 24/03/2016. so this issue will be covered in EA report. Timeline extended from 24/03/16 to 19/04/16</p> <p>On 12/02/2017: Mismatch was found in Part 2 column schedule . Part 1 column schedule was not found . So could not be verified. Also mismatch was found in the beam layout. It is required Factory produce structural and architectural drawing accordingly and in corporate them in DEA.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017: This issue is required to be covered under EA. The EA of the factory has been submitted to Accord which is under review.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017:This issue is required to be covered under EA. The EA of the factory has been submitted to Accord which is under review.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: As-built drawing has been produced as part of EA. Factory performed EA and EA was accepted by ACCORD on 12/11/2017. During verification inspection, some discrepancies were found. Factory is required to submit revised EA as per verification report within given timeline in report.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: As built drawing and other structural documents are prepared as part of EA. EA was accepted by ACCORD on 12/11/2017. After the verification inspection factory submitted the revised EA to ACCORD on 28/06/2018 which is under review.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Factory has produced as built drawings as part of DEA and got acceptance from ACCORD on 12-11-2017. After the verification inspection factory submitted revised DEA documents to ACCORD and got re-acceptance on 21-11-2018.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: The issue is corrected as per previous inspection.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	
7	Visible sagging in mezzanine floor beams above dining area-East Block.	Building engineer to review structure and carry out design calculations to verify the load capacity that this floor system can safely carry.	Priority-2 (Within 6 weeks)	Completed	30-May-2015	<p>On 16/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 30/05/2015 Mezzanine floor along with storage loading was found removed but framework remaining.</p> <p>On 12.02.2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017: Corrected in previous follow up inspection. Found same in current.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017:Corrected in previous follow up inspection. Found same in current.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: This issue was corrected from previous inspection. Factory demolished the mezzanine.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: This issue has been corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Corrected from previous follow up. Factory authority demolished the mezzanine floor.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: The issue is corrected as per previous inspection.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	
8	Visible sagging in mezzanine floor beams above dining area-East Block.	Allowable floor loading to be advised to ensure that storage loads comply with this restriction.	Priority-2 (Within 6 weeks)	Completed	30-May-2015	<p>On 16/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 30/05/2015 Mezzanine floor along with storage loading was found removed but framework remaining.</p> <p>On 12.02.2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017:Corrected in previous follow up inspection. Found same in current.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017:Corrected in previous follow up inspection. Found same in current.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: This issue was corrected from previous inspection. Factory demolished the mezzanine.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: This issue has been corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Corrected from previous follow up. Factory authority demolished the mezzanine floor.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: The issue is corrected as per previous inspection.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
9	Visible sagging in mezzanine floor beams above dining area-East Block.	Priority-3-On going monitoring of loading required	Priority-3 (Within 6 months)	Completed	30-May-2015	<p>On 16/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 30/05/2015 Factory to complete all required work if planned to demolish it fully & update as built drawings correspondingly.</p> <p>On 12.02.2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017:Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017: Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: This issue was corrected from previous inspection. Factory demolished the mezzanine.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: This issue has been corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Corrected from previous follow up. Factory authority demolished the mezzanine floor.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: The issue is corrected as per previous inspection.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	
10	Lightweight steel roof to the east block does not appear to be adequately braced to resist high wind loading.	Priority -2-Steel roof to the east block should be designed by the building engineer including the provision of a lateral stability system and, if required, upgraded to support code vertical and wind loads or the area should be vacated and removed.	Priority-2 (Within 6 weeks)	Completed	18-Apr-2016	<p>On 16/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 11/02/2016 Committed Timeline: 24/03/2016 An engineering assessment was submitted last on 23/12/2014 and after that still its revised submission is pending. Factory management & their consultant committed to submit their EA report within 24/03/2016. so this issue will be covered in EA report.</p> <p>On 12/02/2017: This issue was covered in EA. EA was not accepted from Accord yet.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017:This issue is required to be covered under EA. The EA of the factory has been submitted to Accord which is under review.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017: This issue is required to be covered under EA. The EA of the factory has been submitted to Accord which is under review.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: This issue has been covered in EA. Factory performed EA and EA was accepted by ACCORD on 12/11/2017. Factory will follow remedial works as per recommendation of accepted EA.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: This issue is required to cover in Engineering Assessment (EA). Factory performed EA and EA was accepted by ACCORD on 12/11/2017. After the verification inspection factory submitted the revised EA to ACCORD on 28/06/2018 which is under review.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Factory has prepared DEA and got acceptance from ACCORD on 12-11-2017. After the verification inspection factory submitted revised DEA documents to ACCORD and got re-acceptance on 21-11-2018. Factory required to complete all remedial works within 15-Feb-2019. The remediation work was not started till the inspection day.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: Factory prepared DEA and got acceptance from ACCORD on 21-11-2018. As per the approved retrofitting recommendation, factory has almost completed 80% remediation work. As per approval, remediation completion timeline was 15-02-2019.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: This issue has been covered in Detailed Engineering Assessment (DEA). Factory has carried out DEA and got acceptance from ACCORD. As per approved DEA, factory has completed all remediation works.</p>	Corrected	
11	Cracking to underside of floor slab throughout east block.	Priority-2-Sections of plaster finish to slab to be removed to confirm that cracking is associated with lines of fin beams within waffle slab.	Priority-2 (Within 6 weeks)	Corrected	10-Oct-2015	<p>On 16/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 10/10/2015 As per factory engineer Mr. Ajoy, they have done it accordingly & plastered those cracked zone by pudding almost 1 year ago. After that no such cracks developed further. During inspection we observed crack plastered.</p> <p>On 12.02.2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: This issue was corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: This issue has been corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Corrected from previous follow up.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: The issue is corrected as per previous inspection.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
12	Cracking to underside of floor slab throughout east block.	Building engineer to confirm that waffle slabs have been utilised.	Priority-2 (Within 6 weeks)	Corrected	10-Oct-2015	<p>On 16/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 10/10/2015 As per factory engineer Mr. Ajoy, they have done it accordingly & plastered those cracked zone by pudding almost 1 year ago. After that no such cracks developed further. During inspection we observed crack plastered.</p> <p>On 12.02.2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: This issue was corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: This issue has been corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Corrected from previous follow up.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: The issue is corrected as per previous inspection.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	
13	Cracking to underside of floor slab throughout east block.	Priority-3-Building engineer to confirm how waffle slab in files are restrained in position to ensure that the cracking pattern does not develop further.	Priority-3 (Within 6 months)	Corrected	10-Oct-2015	<p>On 16/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 10/10/2015 As per factory engineer Mr. Ajoy, they have done it accordingly & plastered those cracked zone by pudding almost 1 year ago. After that no such cracks developed further. During inspection we observed them plastered. Factory to keep a log sheet with sufficient number of photographic evidences with date & time, location on map, all of it- at least twice/month.</p> <p>On 12/02/2017: This issue was covered in EA. EA was not accepted from Accord yet.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017:This issue is required to be covered under EA. The EA of the factory has been submitted to Accord which is under review.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017: This issue is required to be covered under EA. The EA of the factory has been submitted to Accord which is under review.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: This issue has been covered in EA. Factory performed EA and EA was accepted by ACCORD on 12/11/2017. According to accepted EA, waffle slab performance is adequate.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: This issue has been corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Corrected from previous follow up.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: The issue is corrected as per previous inspection.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	
14	Management of storage load in the east and west blocks.	Walkways to be provided within all storage areas.	Priority-2 (Within 6 weeks)	Corrected	07-Oct-2015	<p>On 16/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 10/07/2015 This issue found corrected during 1st follow up. During inspection we also observed walkways were found to be provided. Although uncontrolled loading was observed on different floors of the factory.</p> <p>On 12.02.2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: This issue was corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: This issue has been corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Corrected from previous follow up. During inspection, walkways found in storage areas.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: The issue is corrected as per previous inspection.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
15	Management of storage load in the east and west blocks.	Building engineer to produce and actively manage a loading plan for all floor plates within the factory giving consideration to floor capacity and column capacity.	Priority-2 (Within 6 weeks)	Completed	19-Mar-2016	<p>On 16/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 30/12/2015 Final Timeline: 19/03/2016 Load plan found posted but no implementation was seen. Uncontrolled high loading was seen on 4th floor fabric roll storage & 3rd floor accessories store. Factory management committed to reduce load within 19/03/2016.</p> <p>On 12/02/2017: Load plan was not found sufficient. Also no load marking was observed. It is required factory implement safe load plan before retrofitting is completed. After completion of the remediation final load plan can be posted.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017: Load management was not seen during inspection. Overloading and uncontrolled loading was seen on several floors. As per the accepted Safe load plan factory has to follow 20 psf on all floors. But it was not followed at all. A canteen and dining area was found on 6th floor in which the load exceeds far more than safe load plan. Factory has to follow the safe load plan strictly and install the immediate propping for the required columns.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017: Load management was not seen during inspection. As per the accepted Safe load plan factory has to follow 20 psf on all floors. A canteen and dining area was found on 6th floor in which the load exceeds far more than safe load plan. Factory has to follow the safe load plan strictly and install the immediate propping for the required columns.</p> <p>On 21/02/2018: Load plan has been produced as part of EA. Factory performed EA and EA was accepted by ACCORD on 12/11/2017. Factory didn't post any load plan. Factory is required to post safe load plan until retrofitting completed. Final load plan will be posted after completion of retrofitting works. Factory is also required to appoint a designated load manager to ensure load management program. Loading was found within 20psf as per accepted EA. During verification inspection, some discrepancies were found. Factory is required to submit revised EA as per verification report within given timeline in report.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: Load plan has been prepared along with EA report which was approved by ACCORD on 12-11-2017. Loading was found within 20 psf as per accepted EA, but factory didn't post any load plan. After the verification inspection factory submitted the revised EA to ACCORD on 28/06/2018 which is under review.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Load plan has been prepared which was accepted by ACCORD. During inspection, loading was observed within the allowable load limit (42 psf) as per accepted load plan. Factory will post final load plan after completion of remedial works.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: Load plan has been produced and got acceptance from ACCORD. During inspection loading was found within allowable safe limit (20 psf), Load plan was found posted in every floor. Remediation work has not completed till the inspection date. Factory will post final load plan after completion of remedial works.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Load plan has been prepared which was accepted by ACCORD. During inspection, loading was found within the allowable load limit (42 psf) and load plan was found posted on each floor.</p>	Corrected	 

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
16	Load capacity of external fire escape stairs.	Priority-2-Building engineer to carry out design calculations to verify the load capacity of the external escape stairs for crowd loading in the event of use in an emergency.	Priority-2 (Within 6 weeks)	The stair is now non operational. And we got the new stair drawing with details. This new stair will be constructed inside of the building. Proposed drawing & load calculation will be provided to Accord Structural Team by 10/10/2019 & new stair will be installed by 15/11/2019	24-Apr-2016	<p>On 16/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 15/03/2016 Committed Timeline: 24/03/2016 It was found the same state. Factory management & their consultant committed to submit their EA report within 24/03/2016. so this issue will be covered in EA report. It is required to follow ACCORD recommendation properly</p> <p>On 12/02/2017: This issue was covered in EA. EA was not accepted from Accord yet.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017:This issue is required to be covered under EA. The EA of the factory has been submitted to Accord which is under review. Moreover during inspection it was found that the fire escape stair was in very marginal state. The factory management has to stop using the stair immediately.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017:This issue is required to be covered under EA. The EA of the factory has been submitted to Accord which is under review. Moreover during inspection it was found that the fire escape stair was in very marginal state. The factory management has to stop using the stair immediately.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: This issue has been covered in EA. Factory performed EA and EA was accepted by ACCORD on 12/11/2017. According to EA recommendation, factory is required to remove the stair. Stair deteriorated due to corrosion and susceptible to falling hazard. Factory still using the stair. Factory is required to stop using the stair and demolish immediately.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: Factory performed EA and EA was accepted by ACCORD on 12/11/2017. According to accepted EA factory is required to demolish the external fire stair, but factory still using the stair.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: As per approved DEA, factory is required to demolish the external fire stair. Till the inspection day, factory did not demolish the stair.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: Factory did not demolish the stair till the date of inspection day.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Factory demolished the stair.</p>	Corrected	 
17	Condition of roof slab following demolition works- tidy up, water proofing and drainage to be provided.	Priority-2-Construction rubble/demolition material to be removed from building roof.	Priority-2 (Within 6 weeks)	Corrected	25-Sep-2015	<p>On 16/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 25/09/2015 During inspection no material was found on roof.</p> <p>On 12/02/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: This issue was corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: This issue has been corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Corrected from previous follow up. During inspection, no construction material was found on roof.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: The issue is corrected as per previous inspection.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	
18	Condition of roof slab following demolition works- tidy up, water proofing and drainage to be provided.	Priority-3-Roof drainage system to be installed and concrete slab to be waterproofed at locations where the slab has been damaged.	Priority-3 (Within 6 months)	Corrected	25-Sep-2015	<p>On 16/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 25/09/2015 Water proofing observed on roof. Factory management provided a cc coating on roof & drainage system also repaired.</p> <p>On 12/02/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: This issue was corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: This issue has been corrected from previous inspection. Factory authority provided the water proofing and drainage system.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Corrected from previous follow up. Factory authority provided water proofing membrane on roof.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: The issue is corrected as per previous inspection.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Corrected in previous follow up inspection. Factory authority provided the water proofing and drainage system.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
19	New findings: No vehicle/impact protection for double storey column on west(front) entrance.	Engineer to include it in EA if the double storey column is designed for impact loading. Moreover, suitable column barriers to be installed around.		Corrected	30-Sep-2015	<p>On 16/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 30/09/2015 Notches on exterior column were repaired. Factory engineer provided a rcc block around that column.</p> <p>On 12/02/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: This issue was corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: This issue has been corrected from previous inspection. Factory provided barriers around the double story column.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Corrected from previous follow up. Protection provided to columns against vehicle impact.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: The issue is corrected as per previous inspection.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Corrected in previous follow up inspection. Factory provided barriers around the column.</p>	Corrected	
20	New findings: Vibratory machines thread sucking machines - found on 4F(west part)	Engineer to include it in EA to check structure adequacy for such vibration. If determined safe, sufficient layer of absorbant materials to be installed beneath it.		Corrected	09-Jul-2015	<p>On 16/03/2016: Previous Timeline: 07/09/2015 We observed factory engineer provided rubber pad beneath vibratory machines.</p> <p>On 12/02/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 13/8/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017: Corrected in previous follow up.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: This issue was corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: This issue has been corrected from previous inspection.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Corrected from previous follow up.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: The issue is corrected as per previous inspection.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	
21	New findings : Immediate propping and safe load plan	Engineer to follow the immediate propping and safe load plan accepted on 21 April 2017 by Accord	(Immediate – Now)	Completed	16-Aug-2017	<p>On 13/8/2017: Factory got immediate propping installation and safe load plan document acceptance from Accord on 21 April 2017. During inspection propping was not found properly installed. Factory management has to install the props properly as per accepted drawing immediately. Moreover safe load plan must be maintained on each floors.</p> <p>On 17/10/2017: Factory has not installed the propping as per Accepted DEA. Factory management has to install the props properly as per accepted drawing immediately. Moreover safe load plan must be maintained on each floors.</p> <p>On 21/03/2018: Factory didn't install props in one column till the date of inspection.</p> <p>On 09/09/2018: Loading was found within 20 psf as per accepted EA, but factory didn't post any safe load plan. Factory did not maintain the immediate propping plan properly.</p> <p>On 24/11/2018: Factory installed immediate props as per accepted documents. But no safe load plan was found posted. Factory is required to posted the safe load plan and maintain the loading till the completion of remediation.</p> <p>On 12/05/2019: Factory maintain the immediate propping plan properly. During inspection loading was found within allowable safe limit (20 psf), Load plan was found posted in every floor.</p> <p>On 15/10/2019: Corrected in previous follow up inspection.</p>	Corrected	